



A WEEKLY COMMENTARY

ON TARGET

- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



The price of Freedom is eternal vigilance –

Print Post Publication Number 381667 00258

Vol.43, No.26

July 6th, 2007

THOUGHT FOR THE WEEK: "I'm taking you to a *dalang*," Rasy beamed. "You know the famous Indonesian puppet masters."... After completing a classic selection from the ancient texts of the Ramayana, the *dalang* produced a puppet of Richard Nixon, complete with the distinctive long nose and sagging jowls... he was accompanied by another puppet, which wore a three-piece pinstriped suit. The second puppet carried in one hand a bucket decorated with dollar signs... A map of the Middle and Far East appeared behind the two, the various countries hanging from hooks in their respective positions... I asked them why they thought the *dalang* had singled out Muslim countries, except for Vietnam... "Surely," I protested, "you can't believe that the United States is anti-Islamic?"

"Oh no?" she asked. "Since when? You need to read one of your own historians – a Brit named Toynbee. Back in the fifties he predicted that the real war in the next century would not be between Communists and Capitalists, but between Christians and Muslims..."

"Because," she said slowly, as though addressing someone slow-witted or hard of hearing, "the West – especially its leader, the U.S. – is determined to take control of the world, to become the greatest empire in history. It has already gotten very close to succeeding..."

– John Perkins in *"Confessions of an Economic Hit Man"*, 2004

THE FAILURE OF ABORIGINAL SEPARATISM by James Reed: The Aboriginal issue is being widely discussed at the present time. It is impossible to cover all facets of the present debate but there seems to be a growing recognition that separatism has been a disaster for Aborigines. Helen Hughes has recently published *Land of Shame* (Centre for Independent Studies, 2007) where she argues that following the 1967 referendum, Aborigines were streamed into a "separate" development pathway that has led to poverty, violence and social breakdown. Hughes argues that the Whitlam and Fraser governments, under the intellectual influence of H.C. "Nugget" Coombs went along the Marxist/homeland view. Malcolm Fraser replied that it was absurd to say that his government had pursued a form of apartheid. But the road to hell is often paved with politically correct intentions.

Hell is not an exaggeration to describe the light of most Aboriginal communities, and an extract from Hughes' book published in *The Australian* has the title "Hell of Best Intentions" (26-27/5/06, p.21). In particular the recently released report, *Little Children are Sacred*, report of the Northern territory

In this issue: • *Thought For The Week* • *The Failure Of Aboriginal Separatism* • *The Global Warming Scam* • *Yes, Virginia There Is ... And He Carries A Hand Gun!* • *Advance Notice For National Weekend*

"ON TARGET"

July 6th, 2007

Page 1 (101)

Board of Inquiry into the Protection of Aboriginal Children from Sexual Abuse, is over three hundred pages of horror and shame. Alcohol-induced sexual predatory attacks of children were found in almost every community - fuelled also by degrading pornography, drug abuse and domestic violence. White workers who should be helping Aborigines were found to be sexual predators, preying on underage Aboriginal girls. These are the worst sort of people, acting oh so politically correct on the surface - but given the slightest opportunity watch for the sleaze.

As an election is in the air, the Howard government has now found a "problem" and it is mobilizing resources using the police and army. Howard will ban alcohol and pornography. Last year I said that this should be done and I came under criticism from some readers as well as fellow writer Ian Wilson for undermining liberty. But it is the right move, even though Howard is acting now for election brownie points. But if he acted last year in a crusade to save Aboriginal Kids, maybe all those little Aborigines who have been raped and brutalised, in the meantime would not have been. But that's politics.

I notice that John Howard has said: "What matters more: the constitutional niceties, or the care and protection of young children." (*The Australian*, 22/6/07, p.1)

What for the power grab once more? The issues of constitutional law and the care and protection of young children are not opposing issues. Beware of someone who speaks like that - they are looking to grab more centralised power.

Who to blame? The argument of Helen Hughes needs to be taken much further. Leftist, politically correct approaches to the Aboriginal issue have been a disaster. Thus this issue gives us reason to believe that these approaches are incoherent in other areas of social policy, such as the environment, refugees and immigration. If they got it wrong there, then they are likely to be wrong everywhere.

IMPORTANT BOOKS that all Australians should be reading to understand HOW their national sovereignty was whittled away by very clever and traitorous elites.

The issues of the plight of the Aborigines, the untold resources wealth tied up in "Land Rights" and the takeover of Australia by the Corporatocracy (International Banks, International Big Business and Government) cannot each be looked at in isolation. There are a number of important books the League carries which fill in the missing pieces of this section of the very large jigsaw puzzle.

They are: "*Red Over Black*" by former communist Geoff McDonald (1982), \$10.00 posted; "*The Evidence*" by Geoff McDonald, \$10.00 posted; "*Land Rights, Birth Rights*" by Peter English, \$12.00 posted; "*Healing a Divided Nation*" by Rev. Cedric Jacobs, \$10.00 posted; and the explosive book that no mainline media would look at "*Confessions of an Economic Hit Man*" by John Perkins, \$42.00 posted.

THE GLOBAL WARMING SCAM by James Reed: Global warming is the latest weapon of the Left and one-worlders to wind back hard won freedoms and further dismantle national sovereignty. It is no surprise that the standard scientific body dealing with the reporting of this issue - the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change - is a United Nations initiative. The various IPCC reports although appearing politically-neutral are in my opinion - and I am not a climate scientist - constructed within a globalist one-worlder framework. And that is the rub - the science is complex if not bewildering. What is a fellow to do?

Fortunately there are excellent books debunking the science of global warming and exposing the politics. On the science issue S. Fred Singer and Dennis T. Avery have published *Unstoppable Global*

Warming Every 1,500 Years (Rowman and Littlefield, Lanham 2007). These authors argue that the Earth is warming, but human emitted carbon dioxide plays a minor role. Based on an array of scientific evidence they argue that the present-day warming is part of natural 1,500 year cycle that has been occurring for a million years.

Henrik Svensmark and Nigel Calder in *The Chilling Stars: A New Theory of Climate Change* (Icon books, London, 2007) argue that an especially violent sun has meant that less cosmic rays (high level energy rays from outer space) have reached Earth, producing less clouds. Human-induced carbon dioxide has had little to do with this.

The politics of global warming and its one world agenda have been well detailed in a most enjoyable book by Christopher Horner, *The Politically Incorrect Guide to Global Warming and Environmentalism*, (Regnery Publishing Washington DC, 2007). This book clearly demonstrates that global warming is a political weapon for the one world agenda. Leftists argue that the problem, being a global one can only be effectively dealt with by global organisations and directing them requires constraining the nation state and crushing our lifestyle. For example, George Monbiot in Heat (Allan Lane London 2006) wants to see personal carbon allowances that cut emissions down so radically that our present lifestyles will need to be drastically modified.

Horner's book is jam-packed with quotes from green-leftist types which will alarm even the most steel-hearted of actionists. For example Susan Sontag, Jewish Marxist, said in the *Partisan Review* Winter 1967: "The white race is the cancer of human history. It is the white race and it alone – its ideologies and inventions – which eradicates autonomous civilisations wherever it spreads, which has upset the ecological balance of the planet, which now threatens the very existence of life itself."

As Horner aptly entitles one of his chapters, green is indeed the new red. I was pleased to see Horner have ago at James Lovelock's Gaia theory, which sees the earth itself as a holistic organism, a creation of the Earth goddess herself. On this view, people are "pollution" and as Lovelock argues in his latest book *The Revenge of Gaia* (2006) the end result of modernity will be a few breeding pairs of humans left at the poles after the rest have died off. Humanity is like a splinter in Gaia's finger, which will ultimately be eliminated.

The green world view is indeed one hostile to the value and dignity of individuals because it is fundamentally a red view.

YES, VIRGINIA THERE IS ... AND HE CARRIES A HAND GUN! by John Steele: The liberal elites are much like the little girl in the movie "Yes Virginia There is a Santa Claus" (1974) with the same desperate need to have their childlike faith restored. White American liberals believe that race doesn't matter (well, so long as you are white and non-Jewish) and that guns are an intrinsic evil. Thus, even before the gun smoke had cleared at the Virginia Tech, Blacksburg Virginia, the gun control lobby was squawking that the massacre wouldn't have happened if there were no guns, or no hand guns, or... something.

Sure, sure: the internet is full of ideas from budding junior urban terrorists about how to kill even more people without guns. If there is an intention to cause harm, a psycho like the killer Cho Seung-Hui will find a way.

One can argue more convincingly that it was the lack of guns at Virginia Tech – the Tech had a gun-free policy as a typical trendy liberal institution – which led to the massacre. Add to this the fact that officials communicated about the presence of the killer by internet/e-mails, not a loud speaker (!!!)

and one has all the ingredients for disaster. An armed teacher or student could have prevented the massive death toll by one good shot. U.S. Firearms Sociology expert John Lott and William Landes in their study "*Multiple Victim Public Shootings, Bombings and Right-to-Carry Concealed Handgun Laws*", found that the only policy to influence multiple victim public shootings is the passage of concealed handgun laws. When states passed these laws the rates of multiple-victim public shootings fell by 60 per cent. Virginia Tech was a gun-free zone and psycho-killers prefer gun-free zones. Remember: taking guns from law-abiding citizens does not mean less guns; it just means criminals have guns to prey upon the defenceless citizenry. Johnny Howard of course milked the situation for political mileage, saying that he prevented a "gun culture" developi8ng in Australia by the 1996 gun bans. Yet a few years back an Asian with an illegal handgun killed people at a Victorian university. That of course was an excuse to tighten up further on guns. But all this has done is create a black market. Sydney and Melbourne are awash with illegal guns – and that is an even worse "gun culture"!

The racial aspects of the Virginia Tech massacre are not even mentioned by the mainstream press. The trigger which set off this unbalanced Asian may have been a failed inter-racial romance, although there are some doubts about this. Well, why not blame that rather than guns? Why not blame the influence of violent movies (Saw, Texas Chain Saw Massacre) which seem to have influenced the killer's own artistic works? Why not indeed. And if the killer was white and the victims black, this would also be a race hate crime. You don't believe me? Radio shock jock (white) merely used rap talk to refer to Rutgers University women's basketball team as "nappy-headed ho's" and blam, he's fired. The basketball players claim to be scared for life. Pull the other law suit pay out!

As Lawrence Auster says at his blog site on the race connection: "Has anyone ever added up the number of white Americans killed by non-white immigrants over the years, starting with the murder of Frank Lloyd Wright's mistress Margaret Cheney, her two children, and three house guests and the burning down of Wright's home Taliesen by a black immigrant servant in 1914, up to the murder of New York actress Adriennne Shelley by illegal alien Diego Pillco in November 2006? It is not an insignificant number. What ever the number, barely a week passes in which a white American is not killed by a non-white immigrant or illegal alien.

Is that a racist thing to say? The fact is that immigration is by itself a traumatic experience, and that the immigration of poor non-whites into a prosperous, majority-white country often (and predictably) triggers feelings of racial alienation, racial envy, and racial hostility on the part of the non-white immigrant toward the majority population."

Indeed, how long before White liberals in their never-ending desire for self-debasement and self-abuse see Cho as a victim of racism? Maybe these liberals could romanticise him – and as he raved about killed "rich kids" – make him into a Marxist hero like Che Guevara. From Che to Cho.

ADVANCE NOTICE FOR NATIONAL WEEKEND: The question to be tackled by Professor Andrew Fraser and Dr. David Mitchell: "**Is the West's Future: Immigration Forever and a Day?**"

The venue will be The Hume Inn, Albury, N.S.W. For those early birds Phone: 02 6021 2733 to make your accommodation bookings.

Dates are: New Times Dinner: Friday evening, October 5th. Seminar: Saturday, October 6th, and Action Conference Sunday, October 7th, 2007.

"ON TARGET" is printed and published by The Australian League of Rights, 145 Russell St., Melbourne.
Postal Address: GPO Box 1052, Melbourne, 3001. Telephone: (03) 9650 9749, Fax: (03) 9650 9368.

Subscription \$40.00 p.a.